

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending and under consideration.

Using independent claim 1 as an example, this claim recites a bottom of each stopping pin guide groove being higher than a bottom of each pivot pin guide groove. The Examiner admits that the cited reference does not disclose these features. Instead, the Examiner states that it would have been an obvious matter of design choice since applicant has not disclosed the higher bottom of each stopping pin guide groove solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well.

However, the higher bottom does achieve a purpose and does in fact improve performance. Particularly, the higher bottom results in the predetermined gap between the stationary tray and the moveable tray when the moveable tray closes. This gap is shown in present Fig. 4. If the bottoms were of equal height, this gap would not be present.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection is requested.

There being no further outstanding objections or rejections, it is submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. An early action to that effect is courteously solicited.

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this response, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned to attend to these matters.

If there are any additional fees associated with filing of this Amendment, please charge the same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: 8-10-06

By: 

Michael J. Badagliacca
Registration No. 39,099

1201 New York Avenue, NW, Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501